The United States of America, by and through John McKay, United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Jeffrey B. Coopersmith and Richard E. Cohen, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, and the defendant, TIMOTHY T. MOODY, and his attorney, Gilbert H. Levy, enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c).

- 1. The Charge(s). Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment, which charges him with Wire Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343. By entering this plea of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of the charging document. If Defendant complies fully with this Plea Agreement, the United States will move to dismiss Counts 2 and 3 of the Indictment at the time of sentencing.
- 3. <u>Elements of the Offense</u>. The elements of the offense of Wire Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343, as charged in Count 1 of the

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Indictment, are as follows: (1) Defendant knowingly and willfully made up a scheme or plan to defraud or for obtaining money or property by making false promises or statements; (2) Defendant knew that such promises or statements were false; (3) the promises or statements were of a kind that would reasonably influence a person to part with money or property; (4) Defendant acted with the intent to defraud; and (5) Defendant used, or caused to be used, wire communications in interstate commerce to carry out an essential part of the scheme.

4. The Penalties. Defendant understands that the statutory penalties for the offense of Wire Fraud, as charged in as charged in Count 1 of the Indictment, are as follows: imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100) penalty assessment. Defendant agrees that the penalty assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and he violates one or more of its conditions, he could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

- 5. Rights Waived by Pleading Guilty. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, he knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights:
  - a. The right to plead not guilty, and to persist in a plea of not guilty;

1	b. The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of Defendant's
2	peers;
3	c. The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial, including, if
4	Defendant could not afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for
5	Defendant;
6	d. The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established
7	at trial, beyond a reasonable doubt;
8	e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against
9	Defendant at trial;
10	f. The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on
11	Defendant's behalf at trial;
12	g. The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, at which trial such
13	silence could not be used against Defendant; and
14	h. The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings.
15	6. Applicability of Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands and
- 1	
16	acknowledges the following:
ļ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	acknowledges the following:
16 17	acknowledges the following:  a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the
16 17 18	acknowledges the following:  a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case;
16 17 18 19	acknowledges the following:  a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case;  b. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing
16 17 18 19 20	a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case; b. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing;
16 17 18 19 20 21	a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case; b. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing; c. The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case; b. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing; c. The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a sentence that, under some circumstances, departs from any applicable Sentencing
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case; b. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing; c. The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a sentence that, under some circumstances, departs from any applicable Sentencing Guidelines range up to the maximum term authorized by law;
16   17   18   19   20   21   22   23   24	a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case; b. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing; c. The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a sentence that, under some circumstances, departs from any applicable Sentencing Guidelines range up to the maximum term authorized by law; d. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	a. The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission, are applicable to this case;  b. The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing Guidelines range at the time of sentencing;  c. The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a sentence that, under some circumstances, departs from any applicable Sentencing Guidelines range up to the maximum term authorized by law;  d. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing

- e. Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.
- 7. <u>Ultimate Sentence</u>. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.
- 8. <u>Loss Amount</u>. The United States and Defendant agree that the correct loss amount for purposes of applying U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(1) (Nov. 2000) is greater than \$1,500,000 but less than \$2,500,000.
- 9. Restitution. Defendant shall make restitution to the Receiver appointed by the Court in Securities and Exchange Commission v. Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., C02-153P (W.D. Wa.), in the amount determined by the Court at sentencing, with credit for any amounts already paid or collected (including any amount recovered pursuant to paragraph 10 below). Restitution ordered by the Court shall be due and payable immediately and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as set by the United States Probation Office and ordered by the Court.
- 10. Forfeiture. Defendant agrees to forfeit to the United States immediately all of his right, title and interest in any and all property, real or personal, constituting, or derived from, any proceeds Defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, from or as the result of the charged offense (which includes all acts and omissions in furtherance of the scheme to defraud as well as the execution of the scheme to defraud), that are subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(c), 1956(c)(7), and 1961(1), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(a)(1)(c), including the following assets:
- a. The real property located at 7445 Treeline Drive, Naples, Florida, its buildings, improvements, appurtenances, fixtures, attachments and easements, more particularly described in Attachment A, which is incorporated herein, and all furniture, pianos, and other items acquired, conveyed, and/or purchased with such real property and all rights to pursue any claims to any such furniture, pianos, and other items; and

 b. A beige Lexus LX470, Vehicle Identification Number JT6HT00W1Y0111688, License Tag Number T48KWU.

Defendant agrees that each of the above-listed assets constitutes or is traceable to the proceeds of the offenses charged in the Indictment, Wire Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

Defendant agrees to fully assist the United States in the forfeiture of the listed assets and to take whatever steps are necessary to pass clear title to the United States, including but not limited to: surrendering title and executing any documents necessary to effectuate such forfeiture; assisting in bringing any assets located outside the United States within the jurisdiction of the United States; and taking whatever steps are necessary to ensure that assets subject to forfeiture are not sold, disbursed, wasted, hidden, or otherwise made unavailable for forfeiture. Defendant agrees not to file a claim and not to pursue any claim to any of the listed property in any civil forfeiture proceeding, administrative or judicial, which may be initiated.

Defendant further agrees to provide a truthful statement regarding all of his assets, and to make a full and complete disclosure of all assets in which Defendant has any interest or over which Defendant exercises control and those which are held or controlled by a nominee(s). Defendant further agrees to submit to a polygraph examination on the issue of assets if it is deemed necessary by the United States.

The United States reserves its right to proceed against any remaining assets not identified in this Plea Agreement, including any property in which Defendant has any interest or control, if said assets, real or personal, tangible or intangible, constitute or are traceable to proceeds of the offenses charged in the Indictment (which includes all acts and omissions in furtherance of the scheme to defraud as well as the execution of the scheme to defraud).

In lieu of forfeiture, the United States may elect to have Defendant convey all of his right, title, and interest in any of the property listed above, and in any other real or personal property constituting or traceable to the offenses charged in the

- 11. <u>Statement of Facts</u>. The parties agree on the following facts in support of Defendant's guilty plea and for purposes of calculating the base offense level of the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant admits he is guilty of the charged offense.
- a. From in or about March 2000, and continuing through in or about March, 2001, at Redmond, Seattle, Bainbridge Island and other locations within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, TIMOTHY T. MOODY, did knowingly and willfully devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, as further described below.
  - b. In or about March 2000, TIMOTHY T. MOODY, who was then working for a company called Essential Markets, heard from an acquaintance about a company called Project X, Inc. (later called Znetix, Inc.), that was purportedly involved in developing innovative fitness and health clubs. MOODY expressed interest in this company, and the acquaintance referred MOODY to Kevin L. Lawrence, the founder and principal officer of Project X/Znetix and affiliated companies. Lawrence represented to MOODY that Project X/Znetix would very shortly engage in an Initial Public Offering ("IPO"), after which the company's stock would be publicly traded on national markets at substantial prices. Lawrence also represented to MOODY that Lawrence had a personal net worth of at least one hundred million dollars.
  - c. MOODY knowingly fabricated and made false representations about his background and fund-raising efforts to employees and other persons affiliated with Project X/Znetix, including but not limited to the following: (1) that he was part of a very wealthy family with hundreds of millions of dollars in a trust fund; (2) that his family had founded Moodys Investors Service; (3) that he was an attorney licensed to practice; (4) that he had previously been employed as General Counsel to the Ford Motor Company; and (5) that he knew a wealthy restauranteur named P.F. Chang whom he was soliciting to invest in Project X/Znetix.
- d. MOODY subsequently entered into an employment agreement with Project X/Znetix. MOODY signed the agreement on May 4, 2000, and Lawrence signed the agreement on behalf of Project X on May 5, 2000. The agreement provided that MOODY would be employed by Project X as "Chief Strategic Officer and Executive Advisor to the President." The agreement provided that MOODY's duties

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

e. With regard to compensation, paragraph 5 of the employment agreement provided for a base salary of \$550,000 per year, along with a bonus of \$550,000 paid on each December 31 during the term of the agreement. The agreement also provided for a "Signing Bonus" of \$2 million, with \$1.3 million of this amount to be paid immediately on signing the agreement and the remaining \$700,000 to be paid within sixty days. The employment agreement further provided that MOODY would receive from \$500,000 up to twenty percent of the value of any successfully completed merger, acquisition or similar transaction, and that MOODY would receive stock options, various retirement, medical, insurance, and other benefits, and reimbursement of business expenses.

f. Paragraph 5.b(3) of MOODY's employment agreement with Project X also provided as follows:

DPO/Mixed Paper IPO: The Employee may receive a total public paper bonus of up to \$3,000,000. The total gross bonus for the first issue is \$1,500,000 payable for the close of the offering currently scheduled for August 10, 2000. The initial public paper offered is \$25,000,000 issued under an SI with a current share amount of 2.5 million maximum. A second direct, point of market issue is preferred and is scheduled to begin at the end of the initial paper. The second issue is scheduled to be for \$25,000,000. The bonus payable to the Employee for the second issue is \$1,500,000 payable for the close of the second issue before December 31, 2000. If both offerings close simultaneously after the Private Funding Ramp, then the total bonus of \$3,000,000 would be payble upon to closing of the offerings. This language is subject to SEC approval and intended only for compensation, and not broker/dealer relationship.

No "first issue" or "second issue" ever occurred.

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

g. During the course of his employment, MOODY misrepresented the status of his fund-raising efforts, including falsely telling employees of Project X/Znetix that he had \$500,000 of checks from investors that he would remit to the company.

h. MOODY received funds from Project X/Znetix pursuant to the employment agreement that he had entered into under false pretenses. MOODY received a payment of \$1 million in the following manner:

On or about May 10, 2000, at Redmond, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, TIMOTHY T. MOODY, having devised the scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises set forth herein, did, for the purpose of executing such scheme and

artifice, and for attempting to do so, knowingly and willfully cause writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds to be transmitted by wire communication in interstate commerce, that is, on or about such date, TIMOTHY T. MOODY caused an interstate wire transfer of funds in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 to be sent from U.S. Bank in Redmond, Washington, account number 153590844947 in the name of Project X, Inc., to First Union Bank in Naples, Florida, account number 4019999401 in the name of Timothy T. Moody Trust.

i. During the course of his employment with Project X/Znetix, MOODY asked Lawrence on several occasions for audited financial statements for Project X/Znetix, but they were never provided.

j. The PPM contained a section entitled "Management Team," and with regard to MOODY stated as follows:

Tim Moody Chief Strategy Officer – Business Development Group

Mr. Moody has previously served as the Vice President of Strategic Planning for Essential Markets and Finance Director of the Freeland Motor Group where he was responsible for acquisition strategy and financing for Freeland's dealer purchases. His experience also includes serving as Corporate Counsel for the Ford Motor Company's Southeast region and strategic work with Arthur Andersen, having helped develop and implement marketing strategies that resulted in the largest client base increase in the history of the company.

In fact, MOODY was not a lawyer, had never been employed by the Ford Motor Company as Corporate Counsel or otherwise, and had never been employed by Arthur Andersen. The false biographical information that MOODY had provided reached investors who received a copy of the Project X/Znetix 3rd Amended Private Placement Memorandum dated August 15, 2000, including investor Thomas Odegaard, who received PPM number 2200065101. At no time did Project X/Znetix inform investors that the biographical information about MOODY was false.

k. On March 2, 2001, Stephen B. White, Corporate Secretary for Project X/Znetix, sent a letter to Debbie Schmidtke, Human Resources Director for Project X/Znetix, stating, among other things, that: (1) on June 1, 2000, Kevin Lawrence informed White that Moody would work with Project X/Znetix in obtaining funding from Investment Banks and that he had experience in sales with Investment Banks, (2) at a corporate dinner in June, 2000, Moody represented that he was a lawyer, and (3) on October 6, 2000, Kevin Lawrence informed White "that Tim Moody was no longer an employee" and had "instructed [White] to strike Moody"s name from our Private Placement Memorandum and to deny all request [sic] by him for a copy of our Memorandum."

1. Project X/Znetix continued to pay MOODY the compensation due under the employment agreement until on or about March 22, 2001.

27

26

27

1

- Sentencing Recommendations. The government and Defendant agree that 12. the edition of the Sentencing Guidelines effective November 1, 2000, applies to this case. The government and Defendant further agree that the offense level adjustments for more than minimal planning (U.S.S.G. § 2F1.1(b)(2)(A)) and obstruction of justice (U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1) apply to this case, and that no upward or downward departures from the Guidelines are appropriate. In consideration of Defendant's agreement regarding the applicability of these adjustments, and the stipulation regarding loss amount set forth in paragraph 8 of this Plea Agreement, the government agrees to recommend a sentence at the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range. The government further agrees to recommend that Defendant receive treatment for the abuse of alcohol and/or drugs while incarcerated, if he is otherwise eligible for any such program provided by the Bureau of Prisons, and that Defendant be designated to a Bureau of Prisons facility as close to Naples, Florida, as possible. Defendant acknowledges and understands that nothing stated in this paragraph is binding on the Court at sentencing, that the Court is free to disregard the parties' sentencing recommendations, and that Defendant may not withdraw his guilty plea if the Court declines to follow the parties' sentencing recommendations.
- 13. Acceptance of Responsibility. The United States acknowledges that if Defendant qualifies for an acceptance of responsibility adjustment pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a) and if the offense level is sixteen (16) or greater, Defendant's total offense level should be decreased by three (3) levels pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 3E1.1(a) and (b), because Defendant has assisted the United States by timely notifying the authorities of his intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.
- 14. Non-Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

24

25

23

26 27

28

of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant recognizes that the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges that the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant acknowledges and agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all relevant conduct committed by Defendant.

- Voluntariness of Plea. Defendant acknowledges that he has entered into 15. this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter this plea of guilty.
- Statute of Limitations. In the event that this Agreement is not accepted by 16. the Court for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to: (1) thirty days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) thirty days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.
- Post-Plea Conduct. Defendant understands that the terms of this Plea 17. Agreement apply only to conduct that occurred prior to the execution of this Agreement. If, after the date of this Agreement, Defendant should engage in conduct that would warrant an increase in Defendant's adjusted offense level or justify an upward departure under the Sentencing Guidelines (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the probation officer or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to seek a sentencing enhancement or upward departure based on that conduct.

1	19. <u>Completeness of Agreement</u> . The United States and Defendant
2	acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties.
3	This Agreement only binds the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District
4	of Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other
5	office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.
6	Dated this Olet day of July , 2003.
7	
8	$Q_{1}Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4}Q_{5}Q_{5}Q_{5}Q_{5}Q_{5}Q_{5}Q_{5}Q_{5$
9	TIMOTHY T. MOODY
10	Defendant
11	
12	COLH V L
13	GILBERT H. LEVY / Attorney for Defendant
14	
15	016
16	MCHARD E. COHEN
17	Assistant United States Attorney
18	On1
19	Mate Cook
20	Assistant United States Attorney
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

## ATTACHMENT A

## 7445 TREELINE DRIVE, NAPLES, FLORIDA REAL PROPERTY LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Real Property located in the County of Collier, State of Florida, and described as follows:

Lot No. 3, Tract 4, Olde Cypress, Unit One, according to the plan thereof recorded in Plat Book 32, Pages 1 through 11, of the Public Records of Collier County, Florida.

Assessors Parcel Number 0000064625000748

PLEA AGREEMENT/ (United States v. Timothy T. Moody, CR03-176P) - 12

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 601 Union Street, Suite 5100 Seattle, Washington 98101-3903 (206) 553-7970

## PLEA AGREEMENT SPECIAL ASSESSMENT PAYMENT

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR PAYING SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS PRIOR TO SENTENCING

- 1. Special Assessments paid prior to sentencing must be paid to the Clerk, United States District Court.
- Special Assessments must be paid by a first party, certified, or cashiers check, or a
  money order. No second party checks will be accepted. No post-dated checks
  will be accepted.
- 3. All checks must be made out in U.S. dollars to "Clerk, U.S. District Court."
- 4. All checks or money orders must be accompanied by the attached form entitled, "Plea Agreement Special Assessment Payments." The entire form must be filled out or the Clerk, United States District Court, will not accept the payment.

ATTN: INTAKE TEAM
DATE SUBMITTED:
PAYMENT SUBMITTED BY:
CASE NAME: United States v. Timothy T. Moody
CASE DOCKET NUMBER:CR03-176P
DEFENDANT'S NAME:Timothy T. Moody
SINGLE OR MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS (from Indictment; circle one)
TOTAL SPECIAL ASSESSMENT PER DEFENDANT AS SET FORTH IN THE PLEA